

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Aftexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,489	09/931,489 08/21/2001		Gerhard Mersch	60,130-1192; 00MRA0031	60,130-1192; 00MRA0031 7017	
26096	7590	05/05/2004	•	EXAMINER		
CARLSON 400 WEST N	,	EY & OLDS, P.O OAD	REDMAN, JERRY E			
SUITE 350				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				3634		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		09/931,489	MERSCH, GERHARD.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jerry Redman	3634	 			
Period for							
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 F</u>	ebruary 2004.					
•		s action is non-final.					
· ·	·						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)⊠ (4 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>7-23</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>7-23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	١.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊵ 2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· -					
3) Inform							

र्वेद र प्रकृति है। प्रकृतिक पर असे कर देवने <mark>कार्यक्रमधार भागा विभाग समी। क्रम ने हैं।</mark> एक अस्तिप्रकेर

Application/Control Number: 09/931,489

Art Unit: 3634

The proposed drawing changes to Figures 1 and 3 dated 2/5/2004 have been approved by the Examiner.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent to Seeberger et al. in view of Yamashita. Seeberger discloses a door module (1, 3, 8, and 9) and method of assembling comprising of a pair of guide rails having a cable drive system (1), a door module mounting panel (3), an electrical wire harness attached thereto (8), and an electrical drive motor having control electronics (9). Seeberger fails to disclose the electrical drive motor and control electronics to be mounted within a housing. Yamashita discloses a door module having a cavity and cover, which contains the electrical, drive motor and control electronics. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Seeberger with a housing to contain the electric drive motor and control electronics as taught by Yamashita since a contained housing protects the motor drive and electronics from moisture thereby preventing rust or a short in the electronics.

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The applicant argues that there is no reason to combine the references

Page 3

because the motor of Seeberger is already on the "dry-side". The Examiner disagrees

since covers protect motors from other elements such as dust and particles.

Furthermore, simply having a motor on a "dry-side" does not prevent the motor from

coming into contact with moisture since it is well known that seals in the upper belt line

of an automobile door cannot prevent all moisture from entering within the door.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman

at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman

Primary Examiner